



United States
Department of
Agriculture

Forest Service

Northern
Research Station

General Technical
Report NRS-P-31



Biofuels, Bioenergy, and Bioproducts from Sustainable Agricultural and Forest Crops

Proceedings of the Short Rotation Crops International Conference

Bloomington, Minnesota, USA
August 19-21, 2008



The findings and conclusions of each article in this publication are those of the individual author(s) and do not necessarily represent the views of the U.S. Department of Agriculture or the Forest Service. All articles were received in digital format and were edited for uniform type and style. Each author is responsible for the accuracy and content of his or her paper.

The Editors

Ronald S. Zalesny Jr. is Research Plant Geneticist, Northern Research Station, Institute for Applied Ecosystem Studies, 5985 Highway K, Rhinelander, WI 54501.

Rob Mitchell is Research Agronomist, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Grain, Forage, & Bioenergy Research Unit, 314 Biochemistry Hall, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE 68583.

Jim Richardson is Technical Director, Poplar Council of Canada, 1876 Saunderson Drive, Ottawa, ON, K1G 2C5, Canada.

Cover Photos

Front cover, clockwise from top left:

Poplar biomass crops in Quebec, Canada with pulp and paper processing facility in the background. Photo by Ron Zalesny, U.S. Forest Service.

Each round switchgrass bale in eastern Nebraska will yield about 50 gallons of ethanol. Photo by Rob Mitchell, USDA Agricultural Research Service.

Harvesting 3-year-old willow biomass crops in central New York using a Case New Holland forage harvester and a specially designed willow cutting head. Photo used with permission, Tim Volk, State University of New York.

Harvesting 4 tons of switchgrass per acre in late July in Nebraska. Photo by Rob Mitchell, USDA Agricultural Research Service.

Back cover:

Harvesting 6- to 7-year-old poplar biomass crops in the Pacific Northwest (estimated yield of 5 to 6 dry tons per acre per year). Photo by Ron Zalesny, U.S. Forest Service.

The use of trade, firm, or corporation names in this publication is for the information and convenience of the reader. Such use does not constitute an official endorsement or approval by the U.S. Department of Agriculture or the Forest Service of any product or service to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.



This publication/database reports research involving pesticides. It does not contain recommendations for their use, nor does it imply that the uses discussed here have been registered. All uses of pesticides must be registered by appropriate State and/or Federal, agencies before they can be recommended.

CAUTION: Pesticides can be injurious to humans, domestic animals, desirable plants, and fish or other wildlife—if they are not handled or applied properly. Use all pesticides selectively and carefully. Follow recommended practices for the disposal of surplus pesticides and pesticide containers.



Biofuels, Bioenergy, and Bioproducts from Sustainable Agricultural and Forest Crops

Proceedings of the Short Rotation Crops International Conference

**Bloomington, Minnesota, USA
August 19-21, 2008**

Edited by:

Ronald S. Zalesny, Jr., Rob Mitchell, and Jim Richardson



Published by:

**U.S. Forest Service
Northern Research Station
Newtown Square, Pennsylvania**

CONTENTS

Preface and Editors' Note	vii
<i>Ronald S. Zalesny, Jr., Rob Mitchell, and Jim Richardson</i>	
Conference Organizers	viii
Sponsoring Organizations	ix
Development of a Willow Biomass Crop Harvester Based on a New Holland Forage Harvester and Specially Designed Willow Cutting Head	1
<i>Lawrence P. Abrahamson, Timothy A. Volk, Ed Priepke, John Posselius, Daniel J. Aneshansley, and Lawrence B. Smart</i>	
25x'25: America's Energy Future	2
<i>R. Bruce Arnold</i>	
Pulling It All Together—Planning Guidelines and Design Tools for Creating Multi-purpose Landscapes to Support Energy Objectives	3
<i>Gary Bentrup, Gary Wells, Michele Schoeneberger, and Michael Dosskey</i>	
Woody Biomass Crops in the Midwestern United States: Past, Present, and Future	4
<i>William E. Berguson</i>	
Water Perspectives on Large Scale Bioenergy	5
<i>Göran Berndes</i>	
Agroforest Management Systems can Produce Biofuel Feedstocks and Improve Key Environmental Services on Retired Agricultural Lands in the Western Gulf Region	6
<i>Michael A. Blazier, Hal O. Liechty, Matthew H. Pelkki, Philip A. Tappe, and Charles P. West</i>	
Biofuels are Pest Food, Too!	7
<i>John J. Brown, R. Andrew Rodstrom, Eugene R. Hannon, Neal T. Kittelson, and Douglas B. Walsh</i>	
Economics of Willow Biomass Production and Policy to Enhance Market Penetration	8
<i>Thomas S. Buchholz, Timothy A. Volk, Michael J. Kelleher, and Lawrence P. Abrahamson</i>	
Coppice Culture for Biomass Production in Southeastern United States	9
<i>Mark Coleman and Douglas Aubrey</i>	
Swedish Experiences with Applications of Municipal and Industrial Residues on Large-scale Short-rotation Coppice Plantations	10
<i>Ioannis Dimitriou and Pär Aronsson</i>	
Hybrid Aspen Growth Response to Shearing in Minnesota: Implications for Biomass Production and Carbon Sequestration	11
<i>Grant M. Domke, Anthony W. D'Amato, Andrew J. David, and Alan R. Ek</i>	
Agroforestry and Cellulosic Ethanol from Sustainable Poplar Tree Farms	12
<i>Jake Eaton</i>	
Intensive Utilization of Harvest Residues in Southern Pine Plantations: Quantities Available and Implications for Nutrient Budgets and Sustainable Site Productivity	13
<i>Mark H. Eisenbies, Eric D. Vance, W. Michael Aust, and John R. Seiler</i>	
Life-cycle Analysis of Small-scale Energy Systems Utilizing Oilseeds Grown in the Midwest	14
<i>Seth Fore, Paul Porter, and William Lazarus</i>	

Use of Marginal Land and Water to Maximize Biofuel Production	15
<i>Gayathri Gopalakrishnan, M. Cristina Negri, Michael Wang, May Wu, and Seth Snyder</i>	
Sustainable Bioenergy Production in Agroforestry Systems	16
<i>Andrew Gordon, Dean Current, Michele Schoeneberger, and Gary Bentrup</i>	
Short-rotation Forestry in Germany: Lessons from the Past, Present Research Activities, and Future Perspectives.....	17
<i>Holger Grünewald and Georg von Wühlisch</i>	
Woody Bioenergy Systems in the United States.....	18
<i>Richard B. Hall</i>	
The Council for Sustainable Biomass Production	19
<i>John Heissenbuttel</i>	
Effect of Landscape Positions and their Associated Soil and Terrain Attributes on Biomass Crop Yield and Growth Rates	20
<i>Gregg A. Johnson, Ryan T. Thelemann, Haowen Cai, Sudipto Banerjee, Craig C. Sheaffer, Hans-Joachim G. Jung, Katie B. Petersen, and Ulrike W. Tschirner</i>	
Bioenergy: Agricultural Crop Residues	21
<i>Jane M.F. Johnson</i>	
Competition-induced Growth Increases in Poplar	22
<i>Jon D. Johnson and Jeff C. Kallestad</i>	
Evaluating Willow and Hybrid Poplar Clones for Biomass Volumes	23
<i>Allan Jurgens</i>	
Assessing Hybrid Poplar Biomass Feedstock Quality Using Near Infrared Spectroscopy and Multivariate Data Analysis.....	24
<i>Jeff C. Kallestad and Jon D. Johnson</i>	
Rye in a Cellulosic Corn System.....	25
<i>Michael Kantar and Paul Porter</i>	
Conservation Management Strategies in Ethanol Crop Production: A Brazil-U.S. Comparison	26
<i>Hazen Kazaks</i>	
Effects of a Winter Rye Double Crop after Corn Silage on Biomass Production, Water Quality, and Soil Nutrient Status.....	27
<i>Erik Krueger, Tyson Ochsner, Paul Porter, Donald Reicosky, and John Baker</i>	
Important Developments for Short-rotation Intensive Culture of Willow in Different Regions of Eastern Canada.....	28
<i>Michel Labrecque and Traian Ion Teodorescu</i>	
Environmental Services from Agroforest Systems: Sustainable Biofuel Feedstock Production in the Gulf South Region of the United States.....	29
<i>Hal O. Liechty, Michael Blazier, Philip A. Tappe, and Matthew H. Pelkki</i>	
Using a Systems Approach to Improve Bioenergy Sustainability Assessment.....	30
<i>Valerie A. Luzadis, Timothy A. Volk, and Thomas S. Buchholz</i>	

Productivity and Energy Content of Native Perennial Grassland Species	31
<i>Margaret E. Mangan, Craig C. Sheaffer, Donald L. Wyse, Peter H. Graham, Ulrike W. Tschirner, and Sanford Weisberg</i>	
Purpose-grown Trees as a Sustainable Renewable Energy Source	32
<i>James Mann</i>	
R&D and Adoption Issues for Four Short-rotation Afforestation/Agroforestry Technologies: Results of Focus Groups Conducted in Quebec and the Canadian Prairies	33
<i>Sylvain Masse and Pierre P. Marchand</i>	
A Minnesota-based Populus Breeding and Hybrid Poplar Development Program.....	34
<i>Bernard G. McMahon, William E. Berguson, Daniel J. Buchman, Thomas E. Levar, Craig C. Maly, and Timothy C. O'Brien</i>	
Ecological Services Payments Enhance the Economies of Sustainably-grown Feedstocks.....	35
<i>Linda Meschke</i>	
Growth and Yield of Poplar and Willow Hybrids in the Central Upper Peninsula of Michigan.....	36
<i>Raymond O. Miller and Bradford A. Bender</i>	
Response of Three Salix Varieties to Irrigation with Different Concentrations of Solvay Storm Water.....	37
<i>Jaconette Mirck and Timothy A. Volk</i>	
Biomass Production from Native Warm-season Grass Monocultures and Polycultures Managed for Bioenergy.....	38
<i>Rob Mitchell and Kenneth Vogel</i>	
Herbaceous Biomass: State of the Art.....	39
<i>Kenneth J. Moore</i>	
Use of Selected Hybrid Poplars in Short-rotation Woody Crops Production: The European Experience from the Field to the Final Transformer	40
<i>Fabrizio Nardin and Franco Alasia</i>	
Prolonged Planting Season in Willow Short-rotation Forestry: Effects on Initial Plant Growth and Plant Survival.....	41
<i>Nils-Erik Nordh, Pär Aronsson, and Theo Verwijst</i>	
Strategic Assessment of Biofuels Potential for the Western U.S.....	42
<i>Marcia Patton-Mallory, Richard Nelson, Ken Skog, Bryan Jenkins, Nathan Parker, Peter Tittmann, Quinn Hart, Ed Gray, Anneliese Schmidt, and Gayle Gordon</i>	
Impact of Growth Environment Variability on Alfalfa Yield, Cellulosic Ethanol Traits, and Paper Pulp Characteristics	43
<i>Katie B. Petersen, Ryan T. Thelemann, Hans-Joachim G. Jung, Ulrike W. Tschirner, Craig C. Sheaffer, and Gregg A. Johnson</i>	
Characterization of Arsenic Uptake under Phosphorus Sufficient and Deficient Conditions in Shrub Willow (Salix spp.) Clones of Differing As Sensitivities.....	44
<i>Emily E. Pulley and Lawrence B. Smart</i>	

Impacts of Paper Sludge, Manure, and Fertilizer Application on Soil Properties and Biomass Production in a Short Rotation Willow Cropping System in Central New York.....	45
<i>Amos K. Quaye, Timothy A. Volk, Sasha D. Hafner, Don J. Leopold, and Charles D. Schirmer</i>	
High Throughput Analysis Methods for Short-rotation Crops.....	46
<i>Timothy G. Rials and Nicole Labbé</i>	
Sugar/Energy Canes as Feedstocks for the Biofuels Industry	47
<i>Ed Richard, Jr., Thomas Tew, Robert Cobill, and Anna Hale</i>	
Production of Biomass for Energy from Sustainable Forestry Systems: Canada and Europe.....	48
<i>Jim Richardson</i>	
Pacific Northwest Poplars: A Resilient Arthropod Community and the Distribution of Insect-caused Mortality in Cuttings	49
<i>R. Andrew Rodstrom, John J. Brown, and John R. Rodstrom</i>	
Impacts of Biofuel Production on Grassland Birds in Wisconsin	50
<i>David W. Sample and Christine A. Ribic</i>	
Challenges Associated with Short-rotation Biofuel Plantation Establishment in the Lower Mississippi Alluvial Valley	51
<i>Jamie L. Schuler, Matthew H. Pelkki, and H. Christoph Stuhlinger</i>	
A National Assessment of Current and Future State of Technology for Woody Crops in Meeting Mandated Biofuel Requirements	52
<i>Anna M. Shamey, Robert D. Perlack, and Lynn L. Wright</i>	
Wood-to-Wheels: A Multidisciplinary Research Initiative in Sustainable Transportation Utilizing Fuels and Co-products from Forest Resources.....	53
<i>David R. Shonnard, Jeffrey D. Naber, Qiong Zhang, Ann L. Maclean, Kathleen E. Halvorsen, and John W. Sutherland</i>	
Genetics of Yield and Biomass Composition of Shrub Willow Bioenergy Crops Bred and Selected in North America	54
<i>Lawrence B. Smart, Michelle J. Serapiglia, Kimberly D. Cameron, Arthur J. Stipanovic, Timothy A. Volk, and Lawrence P. Abrahamson</i>	
Socially Responsible Expansion of Brazilian Ethanol.....	55
<i>Gerd Sparovek, Rodrigo Maule, and Göran Berndes</i>	
Genetic Improvement of Hybrid Poplar for the Renewable Fuels Industry: A Pacific Northwest Perspective.....	56
<i>Brian J. Stanton, Jon D. Johnson, and David B. Neale</i>	
Irrigation Effects in a Cottonwood Plantation in the Lower Mississippi River Alluvial Valley.....	57
<i>H. Christoph Stuhlinger, Paul F. Doruska, and Matthew H. Pelkki</i>	
Ecological Aspects of Cellulosic Biomass Supply from Whole-tree Chipping and Slash Removal.....	58
<i>Philip A. Tappe, Matthew H. Pelkki, Robert L. Ficklin, and Hal O. Liechty</i>	
Canopy Structure, Light Interception, and Light-use Efficiency in Willow.....	59
<i>Pradeep J. Tharakan, Timothy A. Volk, Chris A. Nowak, and Godfrey J. Ofezu</i>	

Building a Cellulosic Biofuels Industry from the Ground Up: Tennessee Biofuels Initiative	60
<i>Kelly Tiller</i>	
Poplar and Willow Short Rotation Intensive Culture (SRIC) Crops in Western Canada.....	61
<i>Cees (“Case”) van Oosten</i>	
Wood Bioenergy Systems in Canada	62
<i>Ken C.J. Van Rees</i>	
Regional Site-selection Models for Hybrid Poplars	63
<i>Robert C. Venette, Michael E. Ostry, and Kathleen M. Ward</i>	
Development of Switchgrass into a Biomass Energy Crop	64
<i>Kenneth Vogel, Rob Mitchell, and Gautam Sarath</i>	
Illinois Studies of <i>Miscanthus × giganteus</i> for Biomass Feedstock Production	65
<i>Tom Voigt</i>	
Commercializing Willow Biomass Crops for Bioenergy and Bioproducts in the Northeastern and Midwestern United States	66
<i>Timothy A. Volk, Lawrence P. Abrahamson, Thomas E. Amidon, Daniel J. Aneshansley, Kimberly D. Cameron, Gregg A. Johnson, John Posselius, Dennis Rak, Lawrence B. Smart, Eric Spomer, and Edwin H. White</i>	
Evaluating Effluent and Canal Water Irrigation for Wood Biomass Production and Phytotechnology	67
<i>N. Larry White</i>	
A Comparative Cost Analysis of Logistics for Herbaceous Energy Crops and Short-rotation Woody Crops	68
<i>Erin G. Wilkerson, Robert D. Perlack, and Anthony F. Turhollow</i>	
Multiple Criteria Deciding on Phytoremediation of a Heavy Metal Contaminated Agricultural Area Case: The Campine, Belgium.....	69
<i>Nele Witters, Ann Ruttens, and Theo Thewys</i>	
<i>Populus</i> Root System Morphology During Phytoremediation of Landfill Leachate	70
<i>Jill A. Zalesny, Ronald S. Zalesny, Jr., David R. Coyle, Richard B. Hall, and Edmund O. Bauer</i>	
Potential Chloride and Sodium Uptake for 2- to 11-Year-Old <i>Populus</i> Irrigated with Landfill Leachate in the North Central United States.....	71
<i>Jill A. Zalesny and Ronald S. Zalesny, Jr.</i>	
Biomass Potential of <i>Populus</i> in the Midwestern United States	72
<i>Ronald S. Zalesny, Jr., Richard B. Hall, Jill A. Zalesny, William E. Berguson, Bernard G. McMahon, and Glen R. Stanosz</i>	
Variation in Lateral and Basal Adventitious Rooting of <i>Populus</i> Irrigated with Landfill Leachate: Selection of Favorable Genotypes for Environmental Benefits	73
<i>Ronald S. Zalesny Jr. and Jill A. Zalesny</i>	
Evaluation of the Potential of Hybrid Willow as a Sustainable Biomass Energy Alternative Crop in Northern and West-Central Minnesota.....	74
<i>Diomides Zamora, Dean Current, and Mike Demchik</i>	
Author Index	75

PREFACE AND EDITORS' NOTE

Ronald S. Zalesny, Jr., Rob Mitchell, and Jim Richardson

We are pleased to present the proceedings of the Short Rotation Crops International Conference: Biofuels, Bioenergy, and Bioproducts from Sustainable Agricultural and Forest Crops held in Bloomington, MN, in August 2008. For quite some time, there has been a substantial need for an international conference integrating biological and social aspects of producing both herbaceous and woody crops for biofuels, bioenergy, and bioproducts. Increasing energy prices worldwide have made alternative sources economically feasible in recent times. Our conference goal was to create an international forum to strengthen old collaborations and create new partnerships to attack some of the pressing issues facing the world's demand for energy. This collection of abstracts represents many of the extensive efforts under way to help understand these issues. We hope these proceedings may help to spark further conversations among scientists, academicians, regulators, and the general public. We encourage you to contact the authors to cultivate such discussions.

We were thankful to work with a productive team of conference organizers (page viii) representing the private and public sectors from a broad range of scientific disciplines. Sponsorship of the conference was phenomenal (page ix). The collective efforts of organizers and sponsors helped create a diverse and balanced program. We are also grateful to Neil Nelson, Tom Schmidt, and Jill Zalesny for reviewing earlier versions of this document, as well as Susan Wright, Rhonda Cobourn and the rest of the production services team who produced these proceedings with a seemingly impossible timeframe. Lastly, we thank the authors for their diligent efforts in preparing abstracts, presentations, and posters, as well as the conference participants for contributing to the networking potential and knowledge base of the overall experience.

CONFERENCE ORGANIZERS

Steering Committee:

Bryce Stokes, Co-Chair; Marilyn Buford, Co-Chair; Michael Abbey, Andrew Arends, Tom Baumann, Bill Berguson, Michael Casler, Craig Cox, Dean Current, Rick Dahlman, Michael Demchik, Fred Deneke, Mark Downing, Jake Eaton, Alan Ek, Robert Escherman, Robert Fireovid, William Goldner, Andrew Gordon, Rick Hall, John Heissenbuttel, Dick Hemmingsen, Richard Hess, Keith Jacobson, Gregg Johnson, RaeLynn Jones-Loss, Hans Jung, Andrew Mason, Rob Mitchell, Jennifer Nguyen, Nathan Ramsey, Tim Rials, Jim Richardson, Michele Schoeneberger, Steve Shafer, Timothy Volk, Steve Yaddof, Mike Young, Jill Zalesny, Ronald Zalesny, Jr.

Registration:

RaeLynn Jones-Loss, Chair; Dean Current, Alan Ek, Jennifer Nguyen

Website:

RaeLynn Jones-Loss, Chair; Dean Current, Alan Ek, Sarah Finley, Jennifer Nguyen

Technical Program & Proceedings:

Rob Mitchell, Co-Chair; Ronald Zalesny, Jr., Co-Chair; Jim Richardson

Field Tours:

Bill Berguson, Co-Chair; Rick Hall, Co-Chair; Gregg Johnson, Hans Jung, Mike Young

Posters:

Jill Zalesny

SPONSORING ORGANIZATIONS



WOODY BIOENERGY SYSTEMS IN THE UNITED STATES

Richard B. Hall

Department of Natural Resource Ecology and Management, Iowa State University

In the United States, dedicated wood biomass cropland is expected to increase to more than 2 million ha with an average production rate of 18 t/ha. Another 334 million dry t/yr can come from forest residues and wood wastes. However, most wood bioenergy crop systems in the United States are still in the early stages of development, with a wide variety of approaches under test in different regions of the country. The most advanced work focused primarily on energy is with willows in New York grown at stand densities of over 14,300 stems/ha with the first commercial harvest at 4 yrs and a total of seven coppice rotations expected. Ten commercial clones are now available and newer clones with up to 40 percent improvement in yield are under test. Work on fast-growing poplar selections and cultural practices for growing them is also well-advanced in several regions of the country with the current emphasis on bioproducts. This production infrastructure will facilitate future shifts to include biofuels. The largest concentration of plantations is in the Pacific Northwest with about 17,000 ha. Cultural practices vary from irrigated plantations, harvested at ages from 6 to 15 yrs for different products, to 11,000 ha planted to primarily one clone (210 clones under test) on 12-yr rotations in Minnesota, to agroforestry plantings of hybrid aspens yielding 22 t/ha in Iowa at 10 yrs of age. A variety of studies show wood biomass energy production to be the most sustainable, environmentally favorable crop that can be grown in many areas, but much research is still needed to answer specific concerns. Major impediments are the time and cost for establishment, competition with annual crops for land, price competition with bioproduct markets, social resistance to monoculture plantations, the need for more efficiency in harvests, and techniques for drying wood for some energy applications.

KEY WORDS: adoption impediments, cultural systems, *Populus*, *Salix*, yields

*Contact information: Department of Natural Resource Ecology and Management, 339 Science II, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011-3221; Phone: (515) 294-1453; Email: rbhall@iastate.edu

*** INVITED SPEAKER ***