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Modificação da Estrutura de Povoamentos Florestais para Redução do Potencial do Comportamento do Fogo: Modelação vs. Realidade

1Miguel G. Cruz, 2Martin E. Alexander e 3Domingos X. Viegas
1Associação para o Desenvolvimento da Aerodinâmica Industrial, Apartado 10131, 3031 – 601 COIMBRA, Portugal.
E-mail: miguel.cruz@adai.pt
2Forest Engineering Research Institute of Canada, Wildland Fire Operations Research Group, 1176 Switzer Drive, HINTON, AB T7V 1V3, Canada
3Universidade de Coimbra, Depto. de Eng. Mecânica, Apartado 10131, 3031 – 601 COIMBRA, Portugal

A alteração da estrutura dos povoamentos florestais provocada por actividades silviculturais induz alterações no microclima do sub-bosque e na estrutura do complexo combustível que afetam o comportamento do fogo. A complexidade dos processos que determinam o comportamento do fogo leva à necessidade da utilização de modelos para integrar os diversos componentes do sistema ecosistema – meteorologia – fogo e produzir descrições quantitativas do potencial do comportamento do fogo, nomeadamente a probabilidade de ignição de fogo secundários, o potencial de ocorrência de fogo de copas, velocidade de propagação e intensidade frontal da frente de chamas. A utilização destes modelos é essencial para uma gestão do fogo pró-activa permitindo responder a questões como “Quais as modificações ao estrato de combustível de superfície são necessárias para reduzir a probabilidade de ocorrência de fogo de copas?” “Para um determinado regime silvicultural qual a redução da taxa de coberto arbóreo necessária para evitar o desenvolvimento de fogo de copas activo?” e “Em que condições um povoamento considerado como uma barreira à propagação do fogo se torna susceptível à ignição de fogo de copas e sua propagação sustentada?”.

No entanto, a complexidade do ambiente do fogo e as limitações inerentes aos sistemas de simulação do comportamento do fogo podem levar a tomada de opções silviculturais que induzam resultados opostos aos obtidos na simulação. No presente trabalho são descritos vários casos de estudo de aplicação de modelos de comportamento para avaliar o efeito de tratamentos silviculturais no potencial do comportamento do fogo e como a monitorização do ambiente e comportamento do fogo em fogo experimental revelaram resultados distintos dos esperados.
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English Translation of Cruz, Alexander and Viegas (2005) Abstract

The use of fire behavior models is an essential element in the development of proactive fire management systems. Silvicultural activities and the associated changes in stand structure in turn affect in-stand microclimate processes and fuel complex characteristics that influence fire behavior. The complexity of the processes that determine fire behavior makes it necessary to use models that integrate the various variables controlling fire behavior that in turn
produce quantitative descriptions of potential fire behavior, namely probability of ignition, fire spread and intensity, and the likelihood of crown fire development. The use of models allows one to address questions like: “What levels do surface fuel loads need to be maintained at in order to reduce the likelihood of crown fire initiation?”, “For a given silvicultural system, what is the target canopy cover in avoid the possibility of active crown fires?”, and “Under what conditions is a stand considered largely a barrier to surface fire propagation but yet could allow for the development of active crown fires?”. The complexity involved in assessing the fire environment coupled with the inherent limitations of fire behavior simulation systems can lead to erroneous results that might induce mismanagement with long-term, unwanted consequences. In the present work we describe several case studies of the application of crown fire behavior models to evaluate the effects of silvicultural treatments on fire potential and discuss the main limitations of this approach. We also argue for the need to monitor microclimate processes (e.g., wind and fuel moisture) and determine their effect on fire potential.

The presentation made by Cruz, Alexander and Viegas (2005) is based in large part on field experiments (Alexander and Lanoville 2004) and the recent development of empirically-based models for predicting selected aspects of crown fire behavior (Cruz, Alexander and Wakimoto 2003b, 2004, 2005, Cruz et al. 2006)\(^1\), including a semi-physical based for crown fire initiation (Cruz 2004; Cruz et al. 2006).

One of the objectives of the International Crown Fire Modelling Experiment (ICFME) was the testing of a physically-based model for predicting crown fire rate of spread developed by the late Dr. Frank A. Albini (Alexander 2005; Stocks, Lanoville and Alexander 2005). While his model accurately predicted the relative response of fire spread rate to fuel and environment variables it overpredicted the magnitude of the spread rates observed on the ICFME crown fires (Butler et al. 2004). As a result there is still the necessity for empirically-based models for predicting crown fire rate of spread such as developed by Cruz, Alexander and Wakimoto (2005).

A simulation of the impacts of fuel treatments on the type of fire and rate of fire spread in relation to increasing wind speed for three different levels of thinning (i.e., 30, 50 and 70% of the original stand basal area) in a maritime pine stand (characteristics in control or untreated stand: average DBH 15 cm; average height 11.7 m; basal area 41 m\(^2\)/ha; average crown base height 7.1 m; canopy bulk density 0.48 kg/m\(^3\)) under relative severe
fire weather conditions (air temperature - 35°C and relative humidity - 27%) assuming a surface fuel consumption of 0.8 kg/m². The Estimated Fine Fuel Moisture (EFFM) in the control or untreated stand was set at 5.5% and was varied from 4 (70% of basal area), to 4.5 (50% of basal area) to 5% (30% of basal area) in the three thinning treatments. The basal area reductions were accomplished by successively removing the smallest stems in the stand first which resulted in lowering the crown fuel load, increasing the live crown base height, and decreasing the canopy bulk density. This simulation utilized a customized fuel model (described by Cruz, Alexander and Wakimoto 2004) in the BehavePlus system (Andrews, Bevins and Seli 2003) for surface fire rate of spread, the Cruz et al. (2006) model for crown fire initiation, and the Cruz, Alexander and Wakimoto (2005) model for active crown fire rate of spread.

The active crown fire rate of spread (Cruz, Alexander and Wakimoto 2005) has undergone extensive testing using wildfire observations (Alexander and Cruz 2005). The Cruz et al. (2006) crown fire initiation model has received limited testing to date (Cruz, Butler and Alexander 2006).

These models have permitted an objective basis for evaluating the impacts of fuel treatments on fire behavior potential as illustrated in the example presented above based on the ability to manipulate three characteristics of a fuel complex by silvicultural and other vegetation management techniques:

- available surface fuel load;
- crown base height, and
- canopy bulk density

The later quantity is a function of the available crown fuel load (e.g., needle foliage and small branchwood) and the depth of the crown fuel layer which is the difference between the stand height and crown base height (Cruz, Alexander and Wakimoto 2003a).

Case study knowledge (Alexander and Thomas 2003a, 2003b, 2006), coupled with experience judgment and fire behavior modeling is considered an effective operational technique for appraising fire potential in regards to fuels management (Brown 1978). FERIC in collaboration with ADAI have now developed a user-oriented modeling tool (Cruz, Lopes, Alexander 2005), for fire/fuel managers and planners which incorporates some of the models mentioned here thereby permitting them the ability to readily gauge the impacts of proposed fuel treatments on crown fire potential.
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