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What are the relevant spatial and temporal scales?
Obvious link between climate and fire

Spatial and temporal scales of interest.... not so obvious
*Fire perimeters from 1950-2006
Statistical Model Development

• **Response:** Annual area burned

• **Explanatory Variables:**
  
  – Monthly temperature and precipitation
  
  – Monthly teleconnection indices
Source of Climate Data

• Station data from across Alaska

• Only 7 stations with greater than 95% of the monthly temp and precip from 1950
Historical fire perimeters are from the LFDB
Atmospheric Teleconnections

- ENSO is probably the most familiar
- Recurring and persistent shift in atmospheric circulation and/or sea surface temperatures
Pacific Decadal Oscillation

Warm Phase

Cool Phase

* Figure courtesy of Hare IPHC
Gradient Boosting Models

- Data-mining algorithm also used in machine-learning
- Binary recursive partitioning
- Minimize expected loss function over the function-space not parameter-space
Issues with Forecasting

• Want to use part of the data to fit the model, and the other part to “test” it

• Can only use pre-season variables

• Need to quantify decision errors
Experimental Approach

• Repeatedly pull out 10 years of data, fit the model, then predict at the 10 omitted years

• This is done 1000 times resulting in 1000 different models

• For each year, there is a distribution of predictions
Prediction(s) for the 2009 Season (May data)
90% Uncertainty Intervals of Cross-Validated Predictions

Cross-Validation performed by re-fitting the model 1000 times, each time eliminating 10 years of data.
Forecast of Area Burned in 2009 Based on May Data

Median May forecast is 1,800,000 ac.
Error Table for Observed vs. Predicted

Small $< 500,000$

$500,000 < \text{Med} < 1,500,000$

Large $> 1,500,000$
90% Uncertainty Intervals of Cross-Validated Predictions

*Cross-Validation performed by re-fitting the model 1000 times, each time eliminating 10 years of data*
Evolution of Forecasts

• Median March forecast was 336,000 ac.

• Median April forecast was 595,000 ac.

• Median May forecast was 1,800,000 ac.
• **March Model:**
  – Polar (Jan, Feb avg)
  – East Pacific/North Pacific (Jan, Feb difference)
  – Pacific North American (Jan)
  – West Pacific (Jan)

• **April Model:**
  – Polar (Jan, Feb avg)
  – East Pacific/North Pacific (Feb, Apr difference)
  – Pacific North American (Jan)
  – **April Precip**

• **May Model:**
  – Polar (Jan, Feb avg)
  – East Pacific/North Pacific *(January and May average)*
  – Pacific/North American *(May)*
  – **Average May Temperature**
How is this useful?

• Need feedback from managers to better characterize how this information might be used in early season decision making.

• Part of this is accurately conveying the level of uncertainty associated with the forecasts.

• This might be used to proactively identify analysts that are called up after PL3.
Next Steps

• Quantify the uncertainty associated with the development of forecast through the early season

• Determine if this is a useful tool for the community
How might climate and fire collectively influence the potential for grassland dominance?
Goal

• Estimate the disturbance regime and environmental conditions that would result in dominance of grassland at roughly 1 km$^2$ spatial resolution.
Methods

• Use the available literature to develop a conceptual model

• Examine the paleorecord for times when grasslands were more prevalent in interior Alaska

• Estimate probabilities for transitions among states within the ALFRESCO modeling framework
Example: *Calamagrostis canadensis*

- “Up to 1m tall, culms from creeping rhizomes forming tussocks...”

- “Meadows, wet places. Common in interior”

- Hulten 2000
Ecology of CC

• Common colonizer after disturbance

• Colonization from seed if disturbance is near moist areas

• Can gain dominance from vegetative growth (rhizomatous) if fire is low severity
Literature Review

• A fair bit of research on CC is focused on impacts on forest regeneration after logging

• Significant impact on aspen regeneration and spruce seeding survival have been shown
Literature Review

• Strength of CC population is a function of time since disturbance

• Prolific flowering is typically only observed in marshy areas and recently disturbed sites
Paleorecord: Preliminary Summary

- Vegetation changes were driven by changes in both temperature and precipitation.

- Responses of forest tree species provide information about likely scenarios in response to future warming (e.g. Picea will likely not respond favorably to warmer and drier temperatures).
Future Climate and Disturbance

- IPCC future climate scenarios indicate warmer and drier future
- ALFRESCO modeling suggests increased disturbance from fire
Boreal ALFRESCO Fire-Climate Relationship

- CRU
- Composite
- ECHAM5
- CGCM3.1
Future Climate and Disturbance

• Precipitation is highly uncertain, yet critically important

• Even if there are no “trends” in precipitation that occur in the future, changes in the interannual variability of precipitation may significantly impact post-fire succession
Conceptual Model

- In high severity burns, availability of seed source for grasses and weather in following years will play a strong role.

- In low/moderate severity burns where grass is already present, grass will be a strong competitor.
• Climate
  • GS Temperature
  • GS Precipitation
  • Drought

• Disturbance
  • Fire
  • Insects
  • Browsing
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Initial Implementation

- Only dealing with the white spruce vegetation type (i.e. warmer drier, S facing)

- In high severity burns, availability of seed source for grasses and weather in following years will play a strong role

- In low/moderate severity burns where grass is already present, grass will be a strong competitor
Iterative Data Assimilation in the Modeling Framework

• Validate model output using field data

• Part of the Fish Creek fire came back as CC and reburned in the Rex Creek fire this year

• This would be an excellent place to explore…
  – Pre-fire veg for Fish Creek
  – Burn severity
  – Post fire weather for subsequent years
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